



## **Council Assembly (Ordinary Meeting)**

MINUTES of the Council Assembly (Ordinary Meeting) held on Wednesday 6 April 2011 at 7.00 pm at Town Hall, Peckham Road, London SE5 8UB

---

### **PRESENT:**

The Worshipful the Deputy Mayor, Councillor Lorraine Lauder

Councillor Kevin Ahern	Councillor Richard Livingstone
Councillor Anood Al-Samerai	Councillor Linda Manchester
Councillor James Barber	Councillor Eliza Mann
Councillor Columba Blango	Councillor Catherine McDonald
Councillor Catherine Bowman	Councillor Tim McNally
Councillor Michael Bukola	Councillor Darren Merrill
Councillor Denise Capstick	Councillor Victoria Mills
Councillor Sunil Chopra	Councillor Michael Mitchell
Councillor Poddy Clark	Councillor Jonathan Mitchell
Councillor Fiona Colley	Councillor Abdul Mohamed
Councillor Neil Coyle	Councillor Adele Morris
Councillor Robin Crookshank Hilton	Councillor Helen Morrissey
Councillor Patrick Diamond	Councillor Graham Neale
Councillor Dora Dixon-Fyle	Councillor Wilma Nelson
Councillor Nick Dolezal	Councillor David Noakes
Councillor Toby Eckersley	Councillor Paul Noblet
Councillor Gavin Edwards	Councillor the Right Revd Emmanuel Oyewole
Councillor Dan Garfield	Councillor Lisa Rajan
Councillor Mark Gettleson	Councillor Lewis Robinson
Councillor Norma Gibbes	Councillor Martin Seaton
Councillor Mark Glover	Councillor Rosie Shimell
Councillor Stephen Govier	Councillor Andy Simmons
Councillor Renata Hamvas	Councillor Michael Situ
Councillor Barrie Hargrove	Councillor Althea Smith
Councillor Helen Hayes	Councillor Cleo Soanes
Councillor Claire Hickson	Councillor Nick Stanton
Councillor Jeff Hook	Councillor Geoffrey Thornton
Councillor David Hubber	Councillor Veronica Ward
Councillor Peter John	Councillor Mark Williams
Councillor Paul Kyriacou	Councillor Ian Wingfield

## 1. PRELIMINARY BUSINESS

At 7.00pm the bell was rang and all members took their seats. At 7.02pm the clerk opened the meeting and stated that the Mayor had submitted his apologies for the meeting and was not therefore present. In accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.13(a) (Appoint chair of the meeting), the clerk called for nominations for chair for the meeting.

Councillor Nick Dolezal, seconded by Councillor Peter John nominated Councillor Lorraine Lauder, Deputy Mayor.

There being no further nominations, the nomination was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

### RESOLVED:

That the Deputy Mayor, Councillor Lorraine Lauder, be appointed chair for the meeting.

## 1.1 ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE CABINET OR CHIEF EXECUTIVE

The Deputy Mayor made the following announcements:

- The meeting sent its best wishes to the Mayor, Councillor Tayo Situ, who was unable to attend the meeting.
- She welcomed new Councillor Mark Williams to his first meeting of council assembly.
- That she had given permission for a film company to film the Southwark Mediation Centre deputation. They would be filming the deputation and those members who spoke.
- That she had completed a six mile walk last Sunday as part of the London Mayor's Walk and all monies received will be donated to the Macmillian charity. Two collection buckets were placed at the back of the chamber for those who wished to donate.
- That Councillor Denise Capstick would be running in the London Marathon on 17 April in aid of the Mayor's charity. A sponsorship form was attached to the signing in book for those wishing to sponsor her.
- She encouraged everyone to attend the Mayor's Ball in aid of the Macmillian charity on Saturday 14 May, at the Hilton Tower Bridge. Tickets will be £70 and it starts at 7.00pm and finishes at 1.00am.
- That notice had been received that the deputation from a cross section of the Rotherhithe community and the Hawkstone Tenants and Residents Association had been withdrawn.

## **1.2 NOTIFICATION OF ANY ITEMS OF BUSINESS WHICH THE MAYOR DEEMS URGENT**

There were no urgent items of business.

## **1.3 DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS**

Members declared the following interests:

### **Item 4.2 – Motion 1: Repayment of major works charges by leaseholders**

Councillors Catherine Bowman, Eliza Mann, Wilma Nelson and Linda Manchester declared a personal and non-prejudicial interest in this item as they are council leaseholders.

### **Item 5.2 - Canada Water Area Action Plan**

Councillors Jeff Hook, David Hubber, Lisa Rajan and Fiona Colley declared a personal and non-prejudicial interest in this item as they live in or near the area action zone.

Councillor Paul Noblet declared a personal and non-prejudicial interest in this item as he is a trustee of Surrey Docks farm and a governor of Redrith primary school, both of which are near the area action zone.

### **Item 7.1 - Licensing Act 2003 – Extension of Borough and Bankside Saturation Area**

Councillors Mark Glover, Anood Al-Samerai, Adele Morris, Tim McNally and Linda Manchester declared a personal and non-prejudicial interest in this item as they live in or near the saturation zone.

## **1.4 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies for absence were received on behalf of Councillor Tayo Situ.

## **1.5 MINUTES**

*(See supplemental agenda 1, pages 1-56)*

### **RESOLVED:**

1. That the open minutes of the meeting held on 26 January 2011 be agreed as a correct record and signed by the Deputy Mayor.
2. That the open minutes of the meeting held on 22 February 2011 be agreed as a correct record and signed by the Deputy Mayor

## **2. ISSUES RAISED BY THE PUBLIC**

### **2.1 PETITIONS**

There were no petitions.

### **2.2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

*(See supplemental agenda 2, pages 1-3 and green papers circulated at the meeting)*

There were eleven questions from the public, the answers to which were circulated on green paper at the meeting. Three of the public questioners were present and each asked a supplementary question, the answers to questions are attached as Appendix 1 to the minutes.

### **2.3 DEPUTATION REQUESTS**

*(See pages 1-3 of the main agenda)*

#### **Deputation request from Southwark Mediation Services**

Council assembly considered whether to receive the deputation request from the Southwark Mediation Services.

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the deputation be received.

The deputation's spokesperson, Dave Walker, addressed the meeting.

Councillors Tim McNally, Adele Morris, Abdul Mohamed and Richard Livingstone asked questions of the deputation.

During members questioning of the deputation Councillor Catherine Bowman, seconded by Councillor Lisa Rajan, moved that council assembly procedure rule 2.10(3) on the deadline for receipt of motions be suspended so that a late motion could be debated.

The procedural motion was put to the vote and declared to be lost.

#### **Deputation request from the Friends of Geraldine Mary Harmsworth Park**

Council assembly considered whether to receive the deputation request from the Friends of Geraldine Mary Harmsworth Park.

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the deputation be received.

The deputation's spokesperson addressed the meeting.

Councillors Barrie Hargrove, Graham Neale, Linda Manchester and David Noakes asked questions of the deputation.

### **3. THEMED DEBATE: THE FUTURE FOR SOUTHWARK - RISING TO THE COMMUNITY CHALLENGE**

At this juncture, and in accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.14(26), Councillor Anood Al-Samerai raised a point of order as to why the order of business of the themed section of the meeting had been changed. The clerk advised that in accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.5 (Powers of the Chair), the Deputy Mayor having consulted with group whips, had changed the order to ensure the motion and amendment were debated prior to members' questions.

#### **3.1 CABINET MEMBER STATEMENT ON THE THEME OF THE MEETING**

Councillor Fiona Colley welcomed the opportunity to be the first member to make a statement in the themed section of the meeting. She stated that it was an opportunity for all members to put forward their ideas for ways in which the council could deliver its services differently. The cabinet was working towards a new business plan, which would be submitted to council assembly in July. Councillor Colley concluded by stating that following the debate cabinet and scrutiny would review the positive contributions and report back to council assembly in the near future.

#### **3.2 QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC ON THE THEME**

*(See page 3 of supplemental agenda 2)*

There were two questions from the public to the leader, the written answers to which were circulated on green paper at the meeting. One public questioner was present and asked a supplementary question. The questions are attached as Appendix 2 of the minutes.

#### **3.3 MEMBERS' MOTIONS AND QUESTIONS ON THE THEME**

*(see pages 8-12 of the main agenda and lilac papers circulated at the meeting)*

#### **MOTION ON THEMED DEBATE: THE FUTURE FOR SOUTHWARK – RISING TO THE COMMUNITY CHALLENGE**

Councillor Patrick Diamond, seconded by Councillor Michael Situ, moved the motion.

Councillor Anood Al-Samerai, seconded by Councillor Lisa Rajan, moved Amendment A.

Following debate (Councillor Neil Coyle, Paul Noblet, Norma Gibbes, Nick Stanton, Helen Morrissey and Mark Williams), Councillor Patrick Diamond exercised his right of reply.

Amendment A was put to the vote and declared to be lost.

The substantive motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

**RESOLVED:**

1. That council assembly notes the letter from the cabinet member for equalities and community engagement setting out the theme of the debate: “The future for Southwark - rising to the community challenge”.
2. That council assembly notes the assertion that “the council’s role will have to change over the coming years, due to spending cuts and changing resident expectations and needs.” It notes the questions that the cabinet member posed to members to help them think about how they can shape that change:
  - How can we give residents more control over the services they receive?
  - What role could you and your community play in helping to deliver these services?
  - How should we measure success and how should we communicate our progress with you?
3. That council assembly calls on the cabinet to note the content of the debate and points raised.
4. That council assembly calls on the cabinet member for regeneration and corporate strategy to report back in not less than six months on which of these ideas will be pursued further with communities and neighbourhood forums.

**Note:** This motion will be referred as a recommendation to the cabinet for consideration.

**MEMBERS QUESTIONS ON THE THEME**

There were twenty-four questions to the leader, the written answers to which were circulated on lilac paper at the meeting. The leader answered five supplementary questions. The questions are attached as Appendix 3 of the minutes.

The Deputy Mayor stated that the time for questions on the themed section of the meeting had ended.

At this juncture Councillor Catherine Bowman, seconded by Councillor Lisa Rajan, moved that council assembly procedure rule 2.7(4), time allocated for themed section of the meeting, be suspended in order to allow all questions from members to be considered.

The procedural motion was put to the vote and declared to be lost.

**4. ISSUES RAISED BY MEMBERS**

**4.1 MEMBERS' QUESTION TIME**

*(see pages 13-19 of the main agenda and the blue and yellow papers circulated at the meeting)*

There were two urgent questions to the leader, the written answers to which were circulated on blue paper at the meeting. The leader answered supplementary questions on both questions. The questions are attached as Appendix 4 of the minutes.

There were 50 members' questions, the written responses to which were circulated on yellow paper. There were 17 supplementary questions. The questions are attached as Appendix 5 of the minutes.

## **4.2 MEMBERS' MOTIONS**

### **MOTION 1: REPAYMENT OF MAJOR WORKS CHARGES BY LEASEHOLDERS**

*(see pages 21-22 of the main agenda)*

Councillor Lewis Robinson, seconded by Councillor Toby Eckersley, moved the motion.

Councillor James Barber, seconded by Councillor Anood Al-Samerai, moved Amendment E.

Following debate on Amendment E (Councillors Ian Wingfield, Paul Noblet and Wilma Nelson), Councillor Lewis Robinson exercised his right of reply.

Amendment E was put to the vote and declared to be lost.

During the debate on the motion (Councillors Ian Wingfield and Andy Simmons), at 10.02pm the bell was rang and the Deputy Mayor announced that the guillotine had fallen.

The motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

### **RESOLVED:**

That council assembly notes:

1. Southwark Council currently offers leaseholders a number of repayment options when major works (a charge for large one-off works to a block or an estate) are due on their property for which they are liable. These include a "voluntary charge" payable upon sale of the property, and an interest free repayment period of between 12 and 36 months. The council's preferred option is repayment in 12 monthly instalments (Home Owners Guide)
2. The interest free repayment offer of 36 months is fairly standard across London local authorities, although some do offer a longer period of 48 months.

That council assembly believes:

3. A well planned programme of this type of work across the borough would ensure that all required works are carried out with good notice, and scheduled so that leaseholders are able to make adequate provision and plan ahead financially over a

number of years.

4. There have been an increasing number of examples however, of the council failing to achieve this. For example, the council may have to carry out emergency major works following health and safety issues identified in an inspection, or a fire safety notice has been served. In some cases, the programme of works has just been poorly planned.
5. This can and has resulted in several major works programmes taking place in one financial year on an estate, and is highly likely to cause considerable financial hardship to leaseholders. Many on fixed or low incomes are unable to meet the increased costs or able to plan ahead, and given the current state of the housing market, offsetting costs against equity is an increasingly unviable option.
6. The council, while acknowledging that circumstances, and the legal position, may differ from block to block and lease to lease, also believes that further information is required about the obligation of leaseholders to make contributions towards the remedying of fire safety defects.

That council assembly therefore requests cabinet:

7. That where exceptional circumstances occur, and the council is required to carry out more than one programme of major works on an individual estate in one financial year, the current repayment schedule of 36 months will be extended to 48 months so that those affected leaseholders are better placed to budget for the additional financial burden.
8. That when such a situation arises the council informs affected leaseholders this further option is available to them.
9. That definitive advice on leaseholder duties in respect of all types of request for contributions for remedying of fire safety defects be obtained.

**Note:** This motion will be referred as a recommendation to the cabinet for consideration.

**MOTION 2: SECONDARY SCHOOL IN SE16**

*(see page 22 of the main agenda)*

The guillotine having fallen, Councillors Rosie Shimell and Jeff Hook, formally moved and seconded the motion.

Councillors Catherine McDonald and Renata Hamvas, formally moved and seconded Amendment C.

Amendment C was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

The substantive motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

**RESOLVED:**

1. That council assembly recognises the need for more secondary school places in



SE16.

2. That council assembly notes that this administration has always been firmly committed to a new school in SE16 - and that this has consistently been reflected in the Canada Water Action Plan.
3. That council assembly notes that:
  - 1) The Labour government and the previous council administration agreed a programme of 12 Building Schools for the Future (BSF) schools in Southwark, including a brand new, 5 forms of entry (150 places per year group) school in Rotherhithe.
  - 2) In July 2010, the Secretary of State scrapped almost all the BSF programmes across the country, but told this council that Southwark's 12 schools were 'unaffected' by these changes – including schools in Phase 3 of Southwark's BSF programme.
  - 3) Last June the government asked the council to resubmit the borough's pupil place demand projections.
  - 4) In October 2010 Partnerships for Schools (an agency of the Department for Education) informed the council that programmes referred to as 'unaffected' in July would be subject to the Department for Education value for money review. Initially, reference was made to the Department for Education seeking savings of up to 40% across remaining BSF programmes nationally.
  - 5) In November 2010 the government wrote to the council saying that they were withdrawing the £19.6 million it had previously allocated for a new school in Rotherhithe. In the letter, however, the government said it considered there was a need for 2 forms of entry (60 places per year group) worth of places in the area. The letter from the Department for Education to the council said:

"It is not considered that a case can be made for the delivery of a new 5 form of entry secondary school in Rotherhithe at this time. As such the £19.6 million funding provisionally allocated to this project through the Stage 0 approval process in April 2010 will no longer be available to the Authority to deliver that proposal.

"The Department [for Education] considers that there is the need to establish 2 forms of entry of additional secondary places in the Rotherhithe area in the next five years. As such the Department will work alongside Southwark and PfS [Partnerships for Schools] to identify an alternative proposal for the delivery of these places."
  - 6) To date the government has not confirmed how much funding the government will provide to the council for these extra places and when the council will receive it. Until the government confirms this, the council can not progress plans.
  - 7) Last month a working level BSF spreadsheet, emailed from an official in

Partnerships for Schools to an officer in the council, suggested that the government had still allocated the full £19.6 million to a new school in Rotherhithe. This was despite the fact that the government had formally told the council in November that it had withdrawn the funding.

- 8) As a result, the council wrote to the government demanding clarity on how much funding the council will receive for new secondary places in SE16. The letter said:

"The council has always maintained that, despite borough-wide figures, there is a specific need for additional places in Rotherhithe and our proposals for a new school responded both to this and the specific demand in Rotherhithe.

"I am writing to seek confirmation that we can now move forward....I hope you can advise without delay in order that I can progress, because we need to give certainty to local families."

4. That council assembly further notes that:
- any suggestion in the media or otherwise that the council should 'welcome the government's funding for a new school in SE16' is based on a fundamental and complete misunderstanding of the situation
  - any suggestion in the media or otherwise that £10 million for new places may be available from the government does not match the facts as they are known to the council.
5. That council assembly supports the cabinet in its calls for the government to clarify how much funding is available for new secondary places in SE16.
6. That council assembly welcomes the cabinet's wish to work with stakeholders, including both the MPs for SE16, to find a solution to the need for places in the area.

### **MOTION 3: SECURE TENANCIES**

*(see pages 22-23 of the main agenda)*

The guillotine having fallen, Councillors Ian Wingfield and Gavin Edwards, formally moved and seconded the motion.

Councillors Linda Manchester and Paul Noblet, formally moved and seconded Amendment D.

Amendment D was put to the vote and declared to be lost.

The substantive motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

### **RESOLVED:**

1. That council assembly notes that Southwark is the largest local authority social landlord in London with 45,000 tenants and homeowners in the borough.

2. That council assembly notes the proposal in the Conservative/Liberal Democrat government's Localism Bill to end the right to a secure tenancy for council and housing association tenants, and restrict the rights of tenants to complain directly to the housing ombudsman.
3. That council assembly notes that Labour has tried to remove these provisions from the Localism Bill but that Liberal Democrat MPs voted with the Conservatives to keep them within the bill.
4. That council assembly regrets the government's proposal to issue fixed-term tenancies of just two years that will force tenants in Southwark to go through an assessment of their income and family circumstances after just eighteen months in their home which will act as a disincentive to get a better job, could force couples to leave their family home once their children leave home and do not include a right to improve homes or a right to pass on the tenancy to a child, live-in carers or siblings.
5. That council assembly is deeply concerned at the lack of clarity from the Tory-led government regarding the rights of existing social tenants in Southwark to a secure tenancy if they move to a new council or housing association property.
6. That council assembly also notes that along with their cuts to council house building, housing benefit and their plan to introduce rents of up to 80% of local market rents, and reduce funding for the decent homes programme, this is an attack on the fundamental principles of decent, secure and affordable public housing.
7. That in the circumstances council assembly praises the Southwark Labour administration's ambition to make every council home warm, safe and dry.
8. That council assembly calls upon the cabinet and the relevant cabinet members:
  - To lobby Simon Hughes MP to vote against this proposal in the House of Commons and not abstain
  - To seek clarification from the government regarding the proposals to force council tenants to move if their income increases.

**Note:** This motion will be referred as a recommendation to the cabinet for consideration.

#### **MOTION 4: CABINET PRIORITIES**

*(see pages 23-24 of the main agenda)*

The guillotine having fallen, Councillors Anood Al-Sanmerai and Paul Noblet, formally moved and seconded the motion.

Councillors Mark Williams and Nick Dolezal, formally moved and seconded Amendment E.

Amendment E was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

The substantive motion was put to the vote and declared to be carried.

#### **RESOLVED:**

1. That council assembly notes that in just under a year of the Labour administration, despite the savage cuts from the Tory/Liberal Democrat government:
  - The administration's success in taking the regeneration of the Elephant & Castle forward, with progress on new leisure facilities
  - The administration has delivered a food waste recycling pilot, meaning that, where carbon would be produced through incineration and methane through landfill, fewer emissions are produced. It notes the planned reduction in the carbon produced by the council's estate
  - The cabinet's commitment to a new school in Rotherhithe. It notes that the government withdrew the Building Schools for the Future funding for a new school.
  
2. That the other following deliveries on the administration's commitments be noted:
  - Piloting free school meals and securing the finance for free meals in primary schools across the borough
  - Establishing a commission on reducing teenage conceptions
  - Cutting spending on special responsibility allowances by the same amount that they were increased by the Liberal Democrat/Tory administration
  - New safeguards on spending on consultants and the amount spent on them cut as a result
  - The most open budget process in the borough's history
  - All fire risk assessments of council homes now available to the public
  - New dedicated housing department created
  - Two air-quality monitoring stations reopened
  - Consulted with the voluntary sector on our care service charter of rights
  - Piloting a new dedicated phone line for queries about social care.
  
3. That the other following achievements in the administration's 2011/12 budget be noted:
  - Transition fund for voluntary sector, thought to be unique in London, and funding cushion for day care centres and lunch clubs
  - Youth fund to help young people in Southwark find work or stay on in education
  - Pay increase for the lowest paid council employees, despite a national pay freeze.
  
4. That council assembly believes that this administration delivers. It calls on the cabinet to put delivery at the core of the new council business plan.

**Note:** This motion will be referred as a recommendation to the cabinet for consideration.

## **5. REPORT(S) FOR DECISION FROM THE CABINET**

### **5.1 CORE STRATEGY FINAL ADOPTION (POLICY FRAMEWORK)**

*(see pages 25-37 of the main agenda)*

This report was considered after the guillotine had fallen, therefore in accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.12(3) & (4), the report was afforded up to a maximum of 15 minutes.

In accordance with council assembly procedure rule 2.11(1), the cabinet member for regeneration and corporate strategy, Councillor Fiona Colley, moved the report.

Following debate (Councillors Mark Gettleson, Adele Morris, Toby Eckersley, Geoffrey Thornton and Lisa Rajan), Councillor Fiona Colley exercised her right of reply.

The recommendations contained within the report were put to the vote and declared to be carried.

**RESOLVED:**

1. That the binding report of the Planning Inspector on the Core Strategy - final draft February 2011 (Appendix B of the report) incorporating the binding recommendations of the Inspector, be noted.
2. That the final Core Strategy 2011 (Appendix A of the report), sustainability adoption statement (Appendix C of the report), consultation report (Appendix D of the report), sustainability appraisal (Appendix E of the report), equalities impact assessment (Appendix F of the report) and appropriate assessment (Appendix G of the report) be noted.
3. That the comments of planning committee be noted.
4. That the Core Strategy – final 2011 (Appendix A of the report) incorporating the binding recommendations of the Inspector be adopted.

**Note:** The cabinet recommendations had not been amended, therefore in accordance with the budget and policy framework procedure rule 2 (e), the decision could be implemented with immediate effect.

**5.2 CANADA WATER AREA ACTION PLAN (POLICY FRAMEWORK)**

*(See pages 38-64 of the main agenda)*

This report was considered after the guillotine had fallen, therefore in accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.12(3) & (4), the report was afforded up to a maximum of 15 minutes.

In accordance with council assembly procedure rule 2.11(1), the cabinet member for regeneration and corporate strategy, Councillor Fiona Colley, moved the report.

Following debate (Councillors Paul Noblet, Lisa Rajan and David Hubber), Councillor Fiona Colley exercised her right of reply.

The recommendations contained within the report were put to the vote and declared to be carried.

## **RESOLVED:**

That the cabinet's recommendations on the Canada Water Area Action Plan (AAP) outlined below be agreed:

1. Note the comments of the planning committee on the Further Changes to the Canada Water AAP Publication/Submission Version (Dwelling sizes and sites of importance for nature conservation) (Appendix A of the report).
2. Agree the further changes to the Canada Water AAP Publication/Submission Version (Dwelling sizes and sites of importance for nature conservation) (Appendix A of the report) including any/the amendment(s) proposed by cabinet, the arrangement for publicising these changes (Appendix B of the report), sustainability appraisal (Appendix C of the report) and equality impact assessment (Appendix D of the report).
3. Approve the further changes to the Canada Water AAP Publication/Submission Version (Dwelling sizes and sites of importance for nature conservation) for publication and submission to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government provided no substantive changes are necessary following publication.
4. Delegate the approval of any minor non-substantive amendments resulting from its meeting or receiving representations on the further changes to the Canada Water AAP Publication/Submission Version (Dwelling sizes and sites of importance for nature conservation) to the strategic director for regeneration and neighbourhoods in consultation with the cabinet member for regeneration and corporate strategy before submission to Secretary of State.
5. Note the update on two further factual changes in circumstances at Canada Water which may necessitate further revisions to the Canada Water AAP:
  - i. The recent announcement by Daily Mail & General Trust that it is consulting on a proposal to relocate its printworks from Harmsworth Quays to a site in Thurrock;
  - ii. The Department for Education (DfE) formally wrote to the council in November 2010 informing us that a new secondary school in Rotherhithe would no longer receive funding support through Building Schools for the Future. Recently the council received further information from the DfE suggesting the government had still allocated the full £19.6m to the Rotherhithe school project. The council has written to the DfE seeking urgent confirmation about whether it is being given the funds to move forward with a new secondary school for Rotherhithe.

**Note:** The cabinet recommendation had not been amended, therefore in accordance with the budget and policy framework procedure rule 2 (e), the decision could be implemented with immediate effect.

## **6. REPORT(S) FOR INFORMATION FROM THE CABINET**

### **6.1 REPORTS BACK ON MOTIONS FROM CABINET**

*(See pages 65-69 of the main agenda)*

In accordance with council assembly procedure rule 2.11(2), the Deputy Mayor formally moved the recommendation contained within the report.

**RESOLVED:**

That the report be noted.

**7. OTHER REPORTS**

**7.1 LICENSING ACT 2003 - EXTENSION OF BOROUGH & BANKSIDE SATURATION AREA**

*(See pages 70-108 of the main agenda and page 4 of supplemental agenda 2)*

This report was considered after the guillotine had fallen, therefore in accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.12(3) & (4), the report was afforded up to a maximum of 15 minutes.

The Deputy Mayor formally moved the recommendation contained within the report.

Following debate (Councillors David Noakes and Richard Livingstone), the recommendations contained within the report were put to the vote and declared to be carried.

**RESOLVED:**

1. That the recommendation of the licensing committee be agreed on the basis of:
  - a) The partnership analytical report;
  - b) The report from the environmental protection team; and
  - c) The response from public consultation

It be agreed appropriate and necessary to extend the western boundary of the current Borough and Bankside saturation area to help deal with the 'cumulative impact' of licensed premises within the area.

2. That, the extended boundary of the saturation policy be set as indicated in the map at Appendix 2 of the report.
3. That the classes of premises to be covered by the policy shall remain as stated in paragraph 27 of the report.

**7.2 ANNUAL REPORT ON THE WORK AND PERFORMANCE OF THE AUDIT AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE 2010/11**

*(See pages 109-124 of the main agenda)*

This report was considered after the guillotine had fallen, therefore in accordance with council assembly procedure rule 1.12(3) & (4), the report was afforded up to a maximum of 15 minutes.

In accordance with council assembly procedure rule 2.11(1), the chair of the audit and governance committee, Councillor Renata Hamvas, presented the report.

The recommendations contained within the report were put to the vote and declared to be carried.

**RESOLVED:**

That the work and performance of the audit and governance committee in 2010/11 be noted.

**8. AMENDMENTS**

The amendments are set out in supplemental agenda 2.

The meeting closed at 10.36pm.

**CHAIR:**

**DATED:**